



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,235	11/20/2006	Laura Serino	002441.00182	1557
27476	7590	06/01/2010	EXAMINER	
NOVARTIS VACCINES AND DIAGNOSTICS INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY- X100B P.O. BOX 8097 Emeryville, CA 94662-8097			DEVI, SARVAMANGALA J N	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1645		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		06/01/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/561,235	SERINO ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
S. Devi, Ph.D.	1645	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) S. Devi (USPTO). (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Attorney Dale Hoscheit. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 May 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Applicants amendment filed 03/15/2010.*

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Attorney Hoscheit was informed that Applicants' amendment filed 03/15/2010 is non-compliant under 37 CFR 1.121 because the claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order and/or a complete listing of all of the claims is not present. That claims 15-17 are missing from the list of claims was conveyed to attorney Hoscheit. It was requested that Applicants file a fully compliant supplemental amendment by 01/06/2010 to avoid mailing out of a Notice of Non-compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Attorney Hoscheit was invited to call the Examiner of record if he had any questions on the issue.

/S. Devi/  
Primary Examiner  
AU 1645

05-27-2010